

Meeting Resource Objectives:

Pre Event Planning:

Issues:

Lack of articulated integrated resource objectives at landscape scale outside wilderness.

Differences in existing inputs and decisions due to variability in:

- Specificity in planning documents, LRMP, FMP, guidebooks etc.

- Experience and training base of fire managers, line officers and unit with WFU.

- Philosophy of appropriate level of managers influence.

- Expectations of Staff, Resource specialties and public.

- Different data sets.

- Landowner objectives.

- Concepts of landscapes

- Desired future conditions.

Solutions:

Identify landscape scale integrated resource objectives derived from LRMPs.

Appropriate temporal and spatial scale would need to be agreed upon.

Strong leadership is needed to push integration at the landscape/watershed level. Difficult to wrap our heads around it.

Identify sources for posting and sharing.

- Fire Learning Network

- Fire Effects Planning Framework

- Pocket WFU implementation guide.

- Improve education and training (internal and external)

- Share existing examples with common access.

Identify structure to capture, manage and distribute knowledge.

- Key contacts, gleaners, cross-pollination, peer-assist and peer review.

Develop prototypes.

Need additional upfront planning.

- Identify MMAs.

- Build WFIPs.

- Gaming to test MMA and prepare, refine.

- External hard drive so can include sensitive information and be portable.

GIS data, map sets, farsite layers, forms, spatially explicit resource benefits and risks, information sheets, etc.

Discussions with resource staffs, get on the same page, ID benefits and risks, spatial and narrative.

Process vs programs, integrating staff into teams.

Public information.

Where possible, develop similar LRMP language with adjoining units.

Implementation

Issues:

Linking resource objectives into event planning.

How to develop implementation guidelines and objectives at the IAP level. Ability to translate so ground forces understand.

Solutions:

Additional training for implementers. Integrate into existing classes.

Articulate positive benefits at the go/no go stage.

State resource objectives.

Staff discussions during WFIP development.

Develop monitoring plans.

Identify at the unit level the monitoring protocols

Monitoring during event. FUMs, SCAs, Unit, jumpers etc.

.

Post Event:

Issues:

Funding and workforce for monitoring.

How to take what was learned forward.

Solutions:

Find a way to fund post event monitoring, eg. Allow extension of G code and funds.

Ability to use same workforce as original monitoring.

Share learning and monitoring through the LLC network and other info sources.

Use monitoring information to calibrate for next event.

Engage research for longer term monitoring.